

25th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-based Approach

		~ • •
ACCRED	IIAII	JN

This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the accreditation requirements and policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education through the joint providership of i3 Health and ANCO. In support of improving patient care, this activity has been planned and implemented by i3 Health and ANCO. I.3 Health is jointly accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE), and the American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC), to provide continuing deducation for the healthcare team.

Physicians: i3 Health designates this live activity 15 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit: ³⁰, Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity. Physician Assistants: Physician Assistants, American Academy of Physician Assistants (AAPA) accepts certificates of participation for educational activities approved for AMA PRA Category 1 Credit[™] from organizations accredited by ACCME. Physician assistants may receive a maximum of 15 hours of Category 1 credit for completing this program.

Successful completion of this CME activity, which includes participation in the evaluation component, enables the participant to earn up to 15 Medical Knowledge MOC points in the American Board of Internal Medicine's (ABIM) Maintenance of Certification (MOC) program.

Participants will earn MOC points equivalent to the amount of CME credits claimed for the activity. It is the CME activity provider's responsibility to submit participant completion information to ACCME for the purpose of granting ABIM MOC credit. By providing this information, participants are agreeing to allow i3 Health to share this information with the ACCME.

INSTRUCTIONS TO RECEIVE CREDIT

An activity evaluation form will be distributed. To claim credit, you must fill out and submit the form at the conclusion of the program. Your certificate of attendance will either be mailed or emailed to you after your evaluations have been reviewed.

UNAPPROVED USE DISCLOSURE

This educational activity may contain discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the FDA. The planners of this activity do not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications.

The opinions expressed in the educational activity are those of the faculty and do not necessarily represent the views of the planners. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications, and warnings.

DISCLAIMER

The information provided at this CME activity is for continuing education purposes or	nly and is not meant f	to substitute fo	r the independent medical/cli	nical judgment of a healthcare pro	vider relative	e to
diagnostic and treatment options of a specific patient's medical condition.	Stanford Cancer Institute cancer.stanford.edu	UCDAVIS HEALTH	COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER	Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center		ANCO scating and Empowering the relations Cancer Community 2



ull Name	Role	Type of Financial Relationship	Company
Krishna Komanduri	Chair	Advisory Board or Panel	Scientific Advisory Board - Aegle Therapeutics, CellChorus, Clinical Advisory Board - Optum Health
		Consultant	Ad hoc Consulting- Iovance, Incyte, Cargo Therapeutics, CRISPR therapeutics, Genentech/Roche, and BMS.
Michael Randall	Fellow	Disclosed no relevant financial relationships.	
Shagun Arora	Panelist	Disclosed no relevant financial relationships.	
Michael Green	Panelist	Disclosed no relevant financial relationships.	
arrod Holmes	Panelist	Speaker's Bureau	Pharmacyclics and Glaxo Smith Kline.
David Iberri	Panelist	Disclosed no relevant financial relationships.	

25th Millitidiscininal	V Wanagement of Land		
	v Ivialiagenieni ur cant	, ci 3. A case-based Abbi bacii	

	23				
Brian Jonas	Panelist	Advisory Board or Panel	AbbVie, Kura, Rigel, Schrödinger, Syndax, and Treadwell		
		Consultant	AbbVie, BMS , Gilead, Kura, Rigel, Schrodinger, Syndax, and Treadwell		
		Grants/Research Support	Research funding to my institution from AbbVie, Amgen, Aptose, AROG, Biomea, BMS, Celgene,Forma, Forty-Seven, Genentech/Roche, Gilead, GlycoMimetics, Hanmi, Immune-Onc, Jazz,Kymera, Loxo, Pfizer, Pharmacyclics, and Treadwell		
		Other Financial or Material Support (royalties, patents, etc.)	Travel reimbursement/support from Rigel; Data monitoring committee for Gilead.		
Tom Martin	Panelist	Consultant:	GSK, Pfizer, Lilly		
		Grants/Research Support:	Sanofi, AMGEN, BMS, and Janssen.		
William Shomali	Panelist	Advisory Board or Panel	Blueprint Medicines		
		Consultant	Incyte		
		Grants/Research Support	Incyte and Blueprint Medicines		
Cathy Smith	Panelist	Advisory Board or Panel	Biomea, Abbvie, and Genentech		
		Grants/Research Support	Erasca, Abbvie, Zentalis, and Biomea.		
Francisco Socola	Panelist	Disclosed no relevant financial relationships.			
		UCSF Helen Comp	Diller Family Stanford Gancer Institute UCDAVIS Prehensive Cancer Center Annual Comprehensive		
CO and i3 Health	n have mitigate	ed all relevant financial relationships. Cance	er Center Stanford MEDICINE		

25th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach

Case #1: History

- A 49-year-old man presents to PCP with progressive fatigue/malaise, blurred vision and 10 lb weight loss over the past two months
- PMH: T2DM, HTN, mild asthma
- SH: Retired construction worker. Lives with his spouse in an apartment. Remote smoking history, no alcohol use.
- · FH: No family history of hematologic malignancies
- Physical exam: ECOG PS 1, pale and fatigued-appearing, tachycardic with regular rhythm, scattered ecchymoses on extremities. No lymphadenopathy. Right retinal hemorrhage noted on ophthalmology exam.





25 th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach
Case #1: Bone Marrow Biopsy
 <u>Pathology</u>: 90% blasts by morphology; flow cytometry with atypical monocytic population (85%) consistent with AML with monocytic differentiation. Immunophenotype: CD13, CD33, CD34, CD38, weak CD71, CD117, HLA-DR
<u>Cytogenetics</u> : 46, XY
<u>FISH</u> : Negative AML FISH panel
 <u>NGS/Molecular</u>: Mutations include <i>FLT3-ITD</i> (allelic ratio 0.7), <i>DNMT3A</i> (VAF 50.6%, Tier 1), <i>NPM1</i> (VAF 44.3%, Tier 1), <i>TET2</i> (VAF 49.2%, Tier 1), <i>RAD21</i> (VAF 45.9%, Tier 2)
Stanford Cancer Institute Center Institute Beautry Stanford Webcive Stanford Webcive Stanford Webcive



25 th Multidis	sciplinar	ry Management of	Cancers: A Case-	Based Approach
Prognost	ic impa	ct of FLT3 mutation	ons in AML	
	Risk category†	Genetic abnormality	2	
	Favorable	 t(8;21)(q22;q22:1)/RUNX11:RUNX111;t,1 inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22)/ CBFB::MYH111;t,1 Mutated NPM11;§ without FLT3-ITD bZIP in-frame mutated CEBPA 	Curable with chemotherapy alone	
	Intermediate	 Mutated NPM11,\$ with FLT3-ITD Wild-type NPM1 with FLT3-ITD (without adverse-risk genetic lesions) t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3)/MLLT3::KMT2A1,¶ Cytogenetic and/or molecular abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse 		
	Adverse	 t(6;9)(p23.3;q34.1)/DEK::NUP214 t(y;11q23.3)/KMT2A-rearranged# t(y;21q34.1;q11.2)/BCR::ABL1 t(8;16)(p11.2;p13.3)/KAT6A::CREBBP in(3)q2(1.3;q26.2) or 1(3:3)(q21.3;q26.2)/GATA2, MECOM(EVI1) t(3q26.2;y)/MECOM(EVI1)-rearranged -5 or del(5q); -7; -17/abn(17p) Complex karyotype;** monosomal karyotype†1 Mutated ASXL1, BCOR, EZH2, RUNX1, SF381, SRSF2, STAG2, UZAF1, and/or ZRSR2t1 Mutated TP53* 	- High risk of relapse without all	ogeneic SCT
Döhner et al. <i>Blood</i> 2022		Stanford Cancer Institute concestanted adu Stanford WEDICINE	CDAVIS HEALTH COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER COM Can	en Diller Family prehensive cer Center

24 th Multidisciplinary	Management of Cancer	s: A Case-Based Approach			
 <u>Pathology</u>: 90% blasts by morphology; flow cyt monocytic population (85%) consistent with Al differentiation. Immunophenotype: CD13, CD3 CD117, HLA-DR 	cometry with atypical ML with monocytic 3, CD34, CD38, weak CD71,				
<u>Cytogenetics</u> : 46, XY					
 FISH: Negative AML FISH panel 	FISH: Negative AML FISH panel				
 <u>MGS/Molecular</u>: Mutations include FLT3-ITD (a (VAF 50.6%, Tier 1), NPM1 (VAF 44.3%, Tier 1), RAD21 (VAF 45.9%, Tier 2) 	Illelic ratio 0.7), DNMT3A TET2 (VAF 49.2%, Tier 1),				
Case #1: Initial Treatment – F	ELT3-ITD AML in a <u>fit</u> pat	tient			
How would you characterize molecular abnormalities?	this patient's disease risk	c based on cytogenetics and	ł		
A. Favorable		27%			
B. Intermediate		45%			
C. Poor		27%			
D. Unable to determine	I	0%			
010		1	³ 11 🔘		
13					

24th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach Pathology: 90% blasts by morphology; flow cytometry with atypical monocytic population (85%) consistent with AML with monocytic differentiation. Immunophenotype: CD13, CD33, CD34, CD38, weak CD71, CD117, HLA-DR · Cytogenetics: 46, XY • FISH: Negative AML FISH panel MGS/Molecular: Mutations include FLT3-ITD (allelic ratio 0.7), DNMT3A • (VAF 50.6%, Tier 1), NPM1 (VAF 44.3%, Tier 1), TET2 (VAF 49.2%, Tier 1), RAD21 (VAF 45.9%, Tier 2) Case #1: Initial Treatment - FLT3-ITD AML in a less fit patient How would you characterize this patient's disease risk based on cytogenetics and molecular abnormalities? A. Favorable 0% **B.** Intermediate 0% C. Poor 0% D. Unable to determine 0% 15 0 010





















ANCO

26

25th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach Case #1: Key Points

- In the ENL 2022 risk stratification model, all AML with FLT3-ITD is intermediate risk
- For fit adults with FLT3 mutant AML, current SOC is intensive chemotherapy + quizartinib (for ITD) or intensive chemotherapy + midostaurin (for ITD or TKD)
- AZA/VEN/gilteritinib shows promise in a single-center study, but more studies are needed.
- Both sorafenib and gilteritinib improve RFS in patients with FLT-ITD AML after alloSCT
- BMT CTN 1506 demonstrated improved RFS with gilteritinib maintenance after alloSCT, driven by benefit in patients with detectable FTL3-ITD MRD

Stanford

Stanford MEDICINE

Cancer Institute

UCDAVIS HEALTH COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER Comprehensive

Cancer Center



Cancer Center

25th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach Case #2: Labs & Imaging CMP: Na 137, Cr 1.10 (baseline), Ca 9.3, total protein 7.5, albumin 4.3 • CBC: WBC 5.4, Hgb 15.0, Plt 215 • Beta 2 microglobulin: 2.96 SPEP/IFE: M-spike 3.1 (IgG kappa) • IgG 3276, IgA 50, IgM 26 • • **UPEP:** Negative SFLC: Kappa 156.3, lambda 6.6, ratio 23 • Bone marrow biopsy: 25-30% kappa-restricted CD138+ plasma cells. Myeloma FISH: t(11;14), del(13q) PET/CT unremarkable. Overall, no myeloma-defining events Stanford Cancer institute Cancer center Cancer center Cancer center Cancer center ANCO

Stanford MEDICINE

25 th Multidisciplinary Manage	ement of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach
Smoldering myeloma	
Smoldering Myeloma (Asymptomatic) ^{a,b}	Multiple Myeloma (Symptomatic) ^{a,c}
 Serum monoclonal protein ≥3 g/dL or Bence-Jones protein ≥500 mg/24 h and/or Clonal bone marrow plasma cells (BMPCs) 10%–59% and Absence of myeloma-defining events or amyloidosis 	 Clonal BMPCs ≥10% or biopsy-proven bony or extramedullary plasmacytoma and Any one or more of the following myeloma-defining events: Calcium >0.25 mmol/L (>1 mg/dL) higher than the upper limit of normal or >2.75 mmol/L (>11 mg/dL) Renal insufficiency (creatinine >2 mg/dL) [>177 µmol/L] or creatinine clearance <40 mL/min Anemia (hemoglobin <10 g/dL or hemoglobin >2 g/dL below the lower limit of normal) One or more osteolytic bone lesions on skeletal radiography, CT, or FDG-PET/CT Clonal BMPCs ≥60% Involved:uninvolved serum FLC ratio (FLCr) ≥100 and involved FLC concentration 10 mg/dL or higher >1 focal lesions on MRI studies ≥5 mm
	Stanford Cancer Center Stanford HEALTH Stanford HEALTH COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER Stanford HEALTH Stanford HEALTH Sta

24 th Multidisciplinary Management of	f Cancers: A Case-Based Approach
Case #2: Risk assessment in smoldering myeloma	 CMP: Na 137, Cr 1.10 (baseline), Ca 9.3, total protein 7.5, albumin 4.3 CBC: WBC 5.4, Hgb 15.0, Plt 215 Beta 2 microglobulin: 2.96 SPEP/IFE: M-spike 3.1 (IgG kappa)
How would you assess this patient's risk of progression to MM at 2 years?	 IgG 3276, IgA 50, IgM 26 UPEP: Negative SFLC: Kappa 156.3, lambda 6.6, ratio 23 Bone marrow biopsy: 25-30% kappa-restricted CD138+ plasma cells. Myeloma FISH: t(11;14), del(13q) PET/CT unremarkable. Overall, no myeloma-defining events
A. Low-risk; 6.2% progression at 2 years	17%
B. Intermediate-risk; 17.9% progression at 2 years	34%
C. High-risk; 44.2% progression at 2 years	48%
010	³¹ 29 🔵







24th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach Case #2: Management of high-risk smoldering myeloma

How would you approach treatment of this patient with high-risk smoldering myeloma wi >0.015% CTCs?

































ANCC

52

25th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach

Case #2: Key Points

- SOC for high-risk smoldering myeloma remains observation or enrollment on a clinical trial.
- AQUILA trial found a significant OS benefit for daratumumab (not yet FDA approved)
- AURIGA study showed that addition of daratumumab to lenalidomide post-transplant maintenance improved rates of MRD negativity (50.5% vs 18.8%) and PFS
- Sequencing, especially with multiple therapies targeting BCMA, is a major issue
- BCMA-targeted T-cell responses decline with successive lines of therapy. Consensus recommendations suggest using CAR T-cells first.

Stanford Cancer Institute Cancer center Stanford WEDICINE Comprehensive Cancer Center Cancer Center









Prognostic Variable	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5
Single DNMT3A	Present	Absent			
High-risk mutation		Absent			Present
Mutation number		1		≥2	
Variant allele fraction		<0.2		≥0.2	
Red cell distribution width		<15			≥15
Mean corpuscular volume		<100			≥100
Cytopenia		CHIP	CCUS		
Age (yr)		<65	≥65		

25th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach Case #3: History continued She is managed expectantly with CBC q3months and counseled that her risk of developing an overt myeloid neoplasm in the next 10 years is at least 50%. Over the next two years, her anemia continues to deepen with nadir 8.1 and she becomes increasingly symptomatic. Repeat bone marrow biopsy shows: CBC: WBC 3.4 (ANC 1.8), Hgb 8.1, Plt 130 Morphology: hypercellular (60%), with dyserythropoiesis and <5% blasts by morphology. Unusual morphology in megakaryocytes with large hyperchromatic forms. Flow: 1.7% abnormal myeloid blast population Cytogenetics: 47,XY,+8,del(20)(q11.2q13.1)[19] / 46,XY[1] MDS FISH: positive for gain of 8 (60.0%), positive for deletion of 20q (63.5%) Myeloid mutation panel: mutations in TET2, IDH1, DNMT3A (unchanged from prior) IPSS-R score is 3 (2 for intermediate-risk cytogenetics, 1 for anemia), IPSS-M score is -0.34 (moderate low) Erythropoietin level is 817 mIU/mL UCDAVIS HEALTH COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER Comprehensive Stanford ANCO Cancer Institute Bernard et al. NEJM Evidence 2022 Stanford MEDICINI Cancer Center





















25 th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach
Case #4: History
 A 61-year-old man presents with progressive fatigue, exercise intolerance, drenching night sweats, and palpable cervical lymphadenopathy
PMH: Ulcerative colitis s/p colectomy; gout
 SH: Lives alone in a condo. Works as a city planner. Married with two adult children. Social alcohol use, no smoking history.
FH: No family history of hematologic malignancies
 Physical exam: ECOG 1. Cervical, supraclavicular, and axillary adenopathy with several palpable nodes 1- 3 cm in size. Spleen tip palpable 4 cm below the costal margin. No pallor, petechiae or ecchymosis.
 Labs: CBC: WBC 78 (81% lymphocytes), Hgb 10.3, Plt 95 CMP unremarkable LDH 240 Peripheral blood flow cytometry revealed a CD5, CD19, CD23 positive kappa-restricted B cell population CLL FISH : del(11q22.3), +12, del(13q14.3); negative for t(11;14) and del(17p) IGHV unmutated NGS: KMT2D mutation
Stanford Cancer Institute Concernational Stanford WEDICINE



25 th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach				
Case #4: First-line management of CLL				
What would you choose as initial treatment for this patient with treatment-naïve CLL, IGHV unmutated, with intact 17p/TP53?				
A. Obinutuzumab + venetoclax	68%			
B. FCR (fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, rituximab)	2%			
C. Ibrutinib + venetoclax	11%			
D. Acalabrutinib + obinutuzumab	7%			
E. Zanubrutinib	11%			
010	⁷³ 44			





25 th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach							
AMPLIFY: Fixed-Duration Acalabrutinib + Venetoclax +/- Obinutuzumab in ND CLL							
•	Interim analysis presented at ASH 2	024					
•	Patients randomized 1:1:1 to receive	9		A+V	AVO	FCR/BR	
a vi ir B	acalabrutinib-venetoclax (A+V), acalabrutinib- venetoclax-obinutuzumab (AVO), or	labrutinib-	3 Year Progression Free Survival (PFS)	76%	83%	66%	
	investigator's choice of chemotherap	by (FCR or	Overall Response Rate (ORR)	93%	93%	75%	
	$\Delta + 1/$ and $\Delta 1/\Omega$ that any ware time lim	vited to 14	COVID-19 Deaths	10	25	21	
 A+v and AvO therapy were time-timited x 28-day cycles 		Total Deaths	18	37	42		
•	Improved PFS and OS with A+V ver FCR/BR	sus					
•	Differences between A+V and AVO statistically significant	were not					
•	A+V is the first all-oral, fixed-duration combination likely to be approved for the United States	n r CLL in					
		Cancer Institute cancer.stanford.edu	AVIS LTH COMPREHENS		Diller Family rehensive		
Brown et al.	ASH 2024	Stanford MEDICINE		Cance	r Center	75	

25 th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach						
Case #4: History continued						
 The patient prefers a fixed-duration regimen and so via shared decision-making elects to begin treatment with objnutuzumab + venetoclax, which he tolerates well 						
At end of treatment, he achieves MRD negativity in the peripheral blood by ClonoSeq						
 About 3 years later, he presents with headaches, cognitive changes, gait instability, and frequent falls 						
 MRI brain is unremarkable. Lumbar puncture is performed. Cell count with 350 WBC, 98% lymphocytes. Cytology demonstrates a "monotonous population of small lymphoid cells with scant cytoplasm and round nuclei with slightly irregular nuclear contours. No significant population of large lymphoid cells is seen." Flow cytometry demonstrates a CD5+, CD19+ kappa-restricted lymphoproliferative disorder 						
 PB flow cytometry was negative for CLL. Subsequent bone marrow biopsy was unremarkable with no evidence of involvement by CLL by flow. BM MRD remained negative 						
He is diagnosed with an isolated CNS relapse of CLL						
Stanford Cancer Institute Banford VEDICIVE Stanford VEDICIVE						

25 th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach					
Case #4: Management of CLL with leptomeningeal involvement					
What would you choose for the next line of treatment?					
A. High dose methotrexate	I	0%			
B. High-dose methotrexate followed by BTK inhibitor maintenance	I	0%			
C. Intrathecal methotrexate	I	0%			
D. Intrathecal methotrexate followed by BTK inhibitor maintenance	I	0%			
E. FCR	1	0%			
010		⁷⁸ o			











25th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach

BRUIN: Pirtobrutinib after failure of covalent BTK inhibitors in R/R CLL





25th Multidisciplinary Management of Cancers: A Case-Based Approach

Case #4: Key Points

- Based on AMPLIFY acalabrutinib + VEN likely to be approved for treatment-naïve CLL.
- CLL CNS involvement: no prospective studies; experts use BTK inhibitors +/- HD MTX
- We need better therapies for BTK and BCL2 inhibitor (double refractory, DR) patients
- No randomized studies guide approach for DR CLL. In single-arm studies, PFS with pirtobrutinib is 19.6 months and PFS with liso-cel is 11.9 months
- Unknown whether CAR T-cell therapy is curative for a small proportion of patients with highly refractory CLL, and what this means for optimal sequencing

